

<p><b>教學目標</b> Course Objectives</p>	<p>本課程旨在幫助同學瞭解公共行政的理論發展，提昇同學對公共行政文獻的界定、分析、詮釋、批判與評估能力。課程主要內容涵蓋公共行政相關理論架構、經典著作與研究成果。修完本課程後之同學應有能力針對公共行政相關課題進行知性對話與學術研究，並具備開授公共行政相關課程的基本學識。</p> <p>The purpose of this course is to help students understand the intellectual developments of public administration and refine their abilities to identify, analyze, interpret, criticize and evaluate the literature in this field. This course covers various theoretical frameworks, classic publications and research results of public administration. After completing this course, students are expected to be able to make intellectual conversation and to conduct academic research on various topics under the domain of public administration. They should also be well prepared to teach courses relevant to public administration.</p>
<p><b>授課方式</b> Approach to Instruction</p>	<p>上課前學生必須完成指定教材之閱讀。上課時採學生導讀與報告、老師講授與評論、以及師生雙向互動對話討論之方式進行。每節上課後學生必須繳交該週指定教材與上課討論摘要。</p>
<p><b>成績評定</b> Grading</p>	<p>課堂導讀、報告與討論：30% 指定教材與上課討論摘要：40% 期末報告：30%</p>
<p><b>教科書與參考書目</b> Textbooks and References</p>	<p>主要參考書： Shafritz, J.M. &amp; A.C. Hyde. 2012. Classics of Public Administration, 7th eds. Boston: Wadsworth. Kettl, D.F. &amp; J.W. Fesler. 2009. The Politics of the Administrative Process. Washington, D.C.: CQ Press. 黃榮護譯，2006，《政府改造：公共組織選擇的政治》，台北：雙葉書廊有限公司。 其他中英文補充教材</p>
<p><b>週次</b> Weeks</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>進度內容</b> <b>Syllabus</b></p>
<p><b>第 1 週</b></p>	<p>課程簡介</p>
<p><b>第 2 週</b></p>	<p>公共行政的內涵 余致力，〈公共行政理論發展的整體圖像：變與不變〉。 Hyndman, N. &amp; M. Liguori. 2016. "Public sector reforms: Changing contours on an NPM landscape." Financial Accountability &amp; Management, 32(1): 5-32. Behn, R.D. 1995. "The Big Questions of Public Management." Public Administration Review, 55(4): 313-324. Kirlin, J. 1996. "The big questions of public administration in a democracy." Public Administration Review, 56(5): 416-423.</p>
<p><b>第 3 週</b></p>	<p>政府的功能與工具 Kettl &amp; Fesler. Ch.1-3, 12, pp.411-426 Salamon, L. M. 2002. Tools of Governance. Ch1 to pg. 24 Brown, T. L., Potoski, M., &amp; Slyke, D. M. V. 2006. "Managing Public Service Contracts: Aligning Values, Institutions, and Markets." Public Administration Review, 66(3): 323-331.</p>
<p><b>第 4 週</b></p>	<p>政府改造運動(一) 黃榮護譯，第一、二、三章</p>
<p><b>第 5 週</b></p>	<p>政府改造運動(二) 黃榮護譯，第四、五章</p>
<p><b>第 6 週</b></p>	<p>評估改造後的正統行政模型(一) 黃榮護譯，第六、七章</p>
<p><b>第 7 週</b></p>	<p>評估改造後的正統行政模型(二) 黃榮護譯，第八、九章</p>
<p><b>第 8 週</b></p>	<p>改造的政治學(一) 黃榮護譯，第十、十一章</p>
<p><b>第 9 週</b></p>	<p>改造的政治學(二) 黃榮護譯，第十二、十三章</p>
<p><b>第 10 週</b></p>	<p>Early Voice and the first quarter century: 1880s-1920s Shafritz &amp; Hyde, Part one, pp. 3-28. The new deal to mid-century: 1930s-1950s Shafritz &amp; Hyde, Part two, pp. 67-80.</p>

<p>第 11 週</p>	<p>重新界定公共行政領域 Simon vs Waldo in the 50s. Simon, H. 1976. Some problems of Administrative Theory (chapter 2). In: Administrative Behavior. 3rd ed. (pp. 29-49). New York: The Free Press. Waldo, D. 1952. "Development of theory of democratic administration." American Political Science Review, 46: 81-103. Simon, H.A. 1952. "Reply to Waldo." American Political Science Review, 2: 494-496. Waldo, D. 1953. "Reply to Simon." American Political Science Review, 47: 500-503.</p>
<p>第 12 週</p>	<p>From JFK to civil service reform: 1960s and 1970s Shafritz &amp; Hyde, Part three, pp. 171-185. 公共行政領域本體論與方法論 Argyris vs Simon / Ostrom vs Golembiewski Argyris, C. 1973. "Some Limits of Rational Man Organizational Theory." Public Administration Review, 33(3): 253-267 Simon, H.A. 1973. "Organization Man: Rational or Self-Actualizing?" Public Administration Review, 33(4): 346-353. Argyris, C. 1973. "Organization Man: Rational and Self-Actualizing." Public Administration Review, 33(4): 354-357. Thayer, F.C. 1974. "A Comment on the Argyris-Simon Debate." Public Administration Review, 34(2): 185-188.  Golembiewski, R.T. 1977. "A critique of 'Democratic administration' and its supporting ideation." American Political Science Review, 71(4), 1488-1507. Ostrom, V. 1977. Some problems in doing political theory: A response to Golembiewski's "Critique of 'democratic administration' and its supporting ideation". The American Political Science Review, 71(4), 1508-1525. Golembiewski, R.T. 1977. "Observations on 'Doing political theory': A rejoinder." American Political Science Review, 71: 1526-1531.</p>
<p>第 13 週</p>	<p>From Reagan to Reinvention: 1980s and 1990s Shafritz &amp; Hyde, Part Four, pp. 371-393 Public Administration in the Twenty-First Century Shafritz &amp; Hyde, Part Five, pp. 563-568.</p>
<p>第 14 週</p>	<p>公共行政的另類方法論與途徑:後現代主義 Bogason, P. 2001. Postmodernism and American public administration in the 1990s. Administration &amp; Society, 33(2): 165-193. Box, R.C. 2004. Chapter 4. Critical Theory &amp; The Paradox of Discourse. In Critical Social Theory in Public Administration (pp. 69-88). New York: M.E. Sharpe. Burnier, D. 2005. Making it meaning full: postmodern public administration and symbolic interactionism. Administrative Theory &amp; Praxis, 27(3): 498-516. Spicer, M.W. 2005. Public Administration enquiry and social science in the postmodern condition: some implications of value pluralism. Administrative Theory &amp; Praxis, 27(4): 669-688. Stivers, C. 1990. Toward a Feminist Perspective in Public Administration Theory, in Shafritz, J.M. and Hyde, A.C. (eds.) Classics of Public Administration (pp. 481-490). Fort Worth: Harcourt Brace College Publishers. 現代與後現代社會中的公共行政 Cooper, T.L. 2006. The responsible Administrator: An Approach to Ethics for the Administrative Role, ch 1-3. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.</p>
<p>第 15 週</p>	<p>公共行政的科際整合 Kuhn, Th.S 1972. Scientific Paradigms. In B. Barnes (ed.), Sociology of Science , pp. 80-104. Harmondsworth: Penguin. Mainzer, L.C. 1994 Public Administration in Search of a Theory: The Interdisciplinary Delusion . Administration &amp; Society, 26: 359-394. Raadschelders, J.C.N. 2008. Understanding government: Four intellectual traditions in the study of public administration. Public Administration, 86(4) 925-949. Raadschelders, J.C.N. 2010. Indentity without boundaries: Public administration' s canon(s) of integration. Administration &amp; Society, 42(2): 131-159. Rutgers, M.R. 1998. Paradigm Lost: Crisis and Identity of the Study of Public Administration. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 64(4):553-564. Rosenbloom, D. 1983. Public Administrative Theory and the Separation of Powers. Public Administration Review, 43(3): 219-227.</p>
<p>第 16 週</p>	<p>公共行政的現代議題(一) 治理、非營利、外包、與網絡</p>

	<p>治理</p> <p>O' Toole and Hanf 2002. American Public Admin - Impacts of Int' l Governance. Wise, L. R. 2002. Public management reform: Competing drivers of change. Public Administration Review, 62(5): 556-567.</p> <p>Moe, R.C. 1987. "Exploring the Limits of Privatization." Public Administration Review, 47(6):453-460.</p> <p>Heinrich 2010. Third-Party Governance under No Child Left Behind: Accountability and Performance Management Challenges. JPART, 20:159-180.</p> <p>非營利</p> <p>Smith, Steven R. 2008. The Challenge of Strengthening Nonprofits and Civil Society. Public Administration Review, 68(1): S132-S145.</p> <p>Gazley, B., &amp; Brudney, J. 2007. The Purpose and Perils of Government-Nonprofit Partnership. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 36(3), 389-415.</p> <p>Boris and Steurle. Scope and Dimensions of the NP sector. 2006 handbook</p> <p>外包與網絡</p> <p>Hefetz, A., &amp; Warner, M. E. 2004. Contracting or Public Delivery? The Importance of Service, Market and Management Characteristics. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory.</p> <p>O'Toole Jr, L. J. 1997. Treating networks seriously: Practical and research-based agendas in public administration. Public Administration Review, 45-52.</p> <p>O' Toole , Laurence J ., Jr ., and Kenneth J. Meier 2004. Desperately Seeking Selznick: Co-optation and the Dark Side of Public Management in Networks. Public Administration Review, 64(6): 681-694.</p>
第 17 週	<p>公共行政的現代議題(二)</p> <p>協調</p> <p>Bouckaert, G .,Guy Peters, B., &amp; K. Verhoest. 2010. The coordination of public sector organizations: Shifting patterns of public management. N.Y.: Palgrave Macmillan.</p> <p>課責</p> <p>Meier, K. J. 1997. Bureaucracy and democracy: The case for more bureaucracy and less democracy. Public Administration Review, 193-199.</p> <p>Rosenbloom,D. and S. J. Piotrowski. 2005. Outsourcing the Constitution and Administrative Law Norms. The American Review of Public Administration, 35: 103</p>
第 18 週	總複習
第 19 週	
第 20 週	

說明：

1. 本表最上方科目名稱、課程代號、授課教師及製表日期四欄位可不填寫，表中黃色區域請教師勿修改內容，藍色區域則請教師填入資料。
2. 若教學目標、授課方式、成績評定及教科書與參考書目四欄位無資料，煩請填入“無”。
3. 填寫完後存檔，進入上傳頁面後，至對應的課程按按鈕上傳，系統將自動上傳到該課程的位址。
4. 務必關閉檔案後再上傳，否則將上傳失敗。
5. 老師上傳後的表格會另存副本，以便追蹤。
6. 上傳後，系統寫入前的解析從「教學目標」欄對應的淡藍色區塊開始解析。